UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/552,442	10/07/2005	Norifumi Kikkawa	09812.0116 7253	
22852 7590 09/21/2011 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER		EXAMINER		
LLP	ŕ	CHAO, MICHAEL W		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2492	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,442	KIKKAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Chao	2492	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH (c), cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Å 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	·	S
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-30,33 and 34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-30,33 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
··· _			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all a composed and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the control of the contro	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2442

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/30/2010 has been entered.

Claims 1-30, 33 and 34 are pending and directed to a content providing server and information processing device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 20, filed 3/30/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-30, 33 and 34 under Rakib (US 6,970,127), in view of Shinman et al. (US 2002/0019827), in view of Tso et al. (US 6,421,733), in view of Sie et al. (US 7,024,679) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior rejection did not teach switching content automatically at the server without a subsequent request from the client. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Rakib (US 6,970,127), in view of Barton et al. (US 2003/0182567), in view of Harrison et al. (US 5,878,222), in view of Gandhi et al. (US 7,085,814).

Art Unit: 2442

Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although Applicant argues (page 17) that "multiple data as a unit of content' is clear because it "includes arranging a plurality of contents as a single unit", is unpersuasive. Examiner would point out that the claim itself does not describe what "arranging" comprises. As stated below, this may comprise a particular data structure, a particular way of handling the data, or possibly merely implying that the data is handled simultaneously. Since the claim is silent with regard to what "arrangement of the first content and the second content as a unit of content" actually requires, the limitation is ambiguous since it leaves the reader guessing what manner of arrangement is intended.

Applicant argues (page 18) that the dual functionality of a "channel list URL" is not prohibited. As now elaborated upon below, a "channel list URL" has no accepted meaning in the art, therefore since the claims are absent any limitation of its structure it must solely be defined by its use. Since Applicant has provided dual uses it subsequently has dual definitions and it becomes unclear whether the same structure is used in both applications, or if it is a mere placeholder for some system data. Stated more simply, the examiner cannot determine what a 'channel list URL' is since no definition is provided.

Art Unit: 2442

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-30, 33 and 34 recite "the first content and the second content as a unit of content"; however, it is unclear what is required to set the content "as a unit" whether it requires a specific data structure, or some manner of process in handling the data.

The limitation that the content be arranged as a unit is therefore ambiguous.

Claims 1-30, 33 and 34 generally contain references to a "channel list Uniform Resource Locator (URL). This term appears to have different functionalities in different context among the claims without any explanation therefore. For instance, in claims 1 and 13 the term is stated to be a 'content identifier'; however, claim 13 recites also states that the client switches content based on the 'channel list URL' after the channel list URL has been sent to the server. Thus, there appears to be a dual functionality that is not plainly evident from the claim and as such the claims are indefinite.

In addition, a "channel list uniform resource locator (URL)" is not commonly used in the art. A URL is a string containing a location. How a string containing a location can be substituted as a "channel list" is unclear. While this incongruity is easily solved by assuming the "channel list URL" is not actually a URL as commonly known in the art, but instead some manner of data (data being anything), Applicant has now (Remarks page 19) and in the past argued that the prior art lacks the URL feature. As such, it is unclear how the prior art could lack a feature that is undefined.

Art Unit: 2442

Page 5

Examiners will apply § 112, ¶ 6 to a claim limitation that meets the following conditions: (1) The claim limitation uses the phrase "means for" or "step for" or a non-structural term that does not have a structural modifier; (2) the phrase "means for" or "step for" or the non-structural term recited in the claim is modified by functional language; and (3) the phrase "means for" or "step for" or the non-structural term recited in the claim is not modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for achieving the specified function.

This guideline modifies the 3-prong analysis in MPEP § 2181, which will be revised in due course.

(See Federal Register Vol. 76, No. 27 page 7167)

(URL: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2011-02-09/pdf/2011-2841.pdf>)

With respect to claim 1, Claim elements (1) a data transmission/reception unit for; (2) a content storage unit for; (3) a content management unit for; (4) a content delivery unit for; (5) a storage unit control instance for; are a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to clearly link or associate the disclosed structure, material, or acts to the claimed function such that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function. It is unclear what structure or acts described in the specification are intended to be covered by the 'means for' limitations.

Applicant is required to:

Λrt	1 1	n	:+•	2442
ΑIL	U	П	IL.	2442

	(a) Amend the claim	so that the clair	n limitation will r	no longer be a	ı means (or
step) plus function limitatio	n under 35 U.S.	C. 112, sixth par	agraph; or	

- (b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it clearly links or associates the corresponding structure, material, or acts to the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
- (c) State on the record where the corresponding structure, material, or acts are set forth in the written description of the specification that perform the claimed function.

8 For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(o) and 2181.

With respect to claim 13, there exists a 112 ¶6 issue identical to that above for the (1) input device for and (2) outputting device for.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-21, 23-25, 27-30, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakib (US 6,970,127), in view of Barton et al. (US 2003/0182567), in view of Harrison et al. (US 5,878,222), in view of Gandhi et al. (US 7,085,814).

With respect to claims 1, 16 and 33, Rakib teaches: A content-providing server for executing content transmission to a client and content recording processing, the server comprising:

Art Unit: 2442

a tuner for executing data reception processing; ("Gateway 10 also has an internal router and tuner and demodulation and detector circuitry" Rakib column 7 line 15)

Page 7

a data transmission/reception unit ("Gateway 10 has an RF or infrared" Rakib column 7 line 13) for executing communication processing between the server and a client, ("Gateway 10 has an RF or infrared transceiver 32 therein to send and receive data to/from remote 30" Rakib column 7 line 13) wherein the communication processing includes communication of control information ("(2) change video channel selections for the TV or remote" Rakib column 3 line 20) and content received by the tuner, ("(1) monitor one video channel while watching another on a TV" Rakib column 3 line 20) the received content including a first content received by the tuner over a first channel and a second content received by the tuner over a second channel; ("Receiver 106 has the ability to tune and demultiplex two separate logical channels simultaneously in some embodiments. Typically this will be done by filtering out all MPEG packets having two separate program descriptors (PID)" Rakib column 14 line 30)

a metadata storage unit including attribute information corresponding to the received content, wherein the attribute information is stored as content information; ("displaying a menu of programs that have been recorded and providing a menu to issue commands to play a program," Rakib column 13 line 30)

a content storage unit for storing the received content ("displaying a menu of programs that have been recorded and providing a menu to issue commands to play a program," Rakib column 13 line 30)

Art Unit: 2442

22

Page 8

1 a content management unit for processing the content information to be provided 2 to the client; and ("Operating system 116 cooperates with the remote control 100 to 3 receive commands to implement TIVO-like functions" Rakib column 12 line 1; Also 4 "displaying a menu of programs that have been recorded and providing a menu to issue 5 commands to play a program," Rakib column 13 line 30) 6 a content delivery control unit for processing the received content, the content 7 delivery control unit comprising: ("Gateway 10 also has an internal router and tuner and 8 demodulation and detector circuitry" Rakib column 7 line 15) 9 Rakib does not teach: 10 in an hierarchical content management directory, wherein the metadata storage 11 unit includes information describing the hierarchical management directory; 12 a tuner control instance for arranging the first content and the second 13 content as a unit of content, wherein a recording source content identifier is set 14 corresponding to the unit of content, and the recording source content identifier is a 15 channel list Uniform Resource Locator (URL); and 16 a storage unit control instance for storing the unit of content, wherein a 17 recording target content identifier is set corresponding to the unit of content, and 18 wherein the data transmission/reception unit uses the channel list URL to provide 19 the first content and the second content to the client by establishing a connection 20 between the server and the client, and 21 wherein the provided channel list URL is used to switch between the first content

and the second content by maintaining the connection and without setting a new URL to

Art Unit: 2442

switch from the first content that is received over the first channel to the second content

Page 9

2 that is received over the second channel. (Note that the channels are interpreted to be

channels on which the server receives content.)

Barton teaches: in an hierarchical content management directory, ("preference objects are stored in the database as a hierarchy" Barton paragraph [0148]; see also [0136]; and aggregates [0124]; also program guide hierarchy of Figure 4 discussed in paragraph [0123]) wherein the metadata storage unit includes information describing the hierarchical management directory; (above program guides and paragraph [0127-138]; and metadata storage [0119])

a tuner control instance ("the scheduler accepts as input a prioritized list of program viewing preferences" Barton paragraph [0135]; and "the invention maintains two schedules, the Space Schedule 601 and the Input Schedule 602." Barton paragraph [0163]) for arranging the first content and the second content as a unit of content, ("the service provider may also provide aggregation viewing objects that are interrelated in some fashion . . . "; "the client system may further refine the collection" Barton paragraphs [0124-0125]) wherein a recording source content identifier is set corresponding to the unit of content (see Barton paragraphs [0172-0173] for recording of aggregate objects), and the recording source content identifier is a channel list ("For instance, a "Star-Trek" collection might contain references to all program guide objects associated with this brand name. Clearly, any arbitrary set of programs may be aggregated in this fashion." Barton paragraph [0124]; also "Program guide objects contain all information necessary for software running in the client system to tune,

Art Unit: 2442

1 receive, record and view programs of interest to the user of the client system" Barton

Page 10

2 paragraph [0121]); and

a storage unit control instance ("It then loads the viewing object database software from the disk drive, and begins execution of the application." Barton paragraph [0180]) for storing the unit of content, wherein a recording target content identifier is set corresponding to the unit of content ("In a system where programming may be captured to internal storage, each captured program is represented by a new program guide object, becoming available for viewing, aggregation, etc. Explicit viewer actions may also result in creation of program guide objects." Barton paragraph [0125]), and

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have modified Rakib with Barton by including the content and preference database ("area on the disk from the object database or captured television programs." Barton paragraph [0180]) and the scheduler (Barton paragraph [0135]) of Baron in the system of Rakib. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rakib with Barton in order to provide flexible content viewing by a consumer using consumer preferences.

Further Rakib in view of Barton does not teach:

wherein the data transmission/reception unit uses the channel list URL to provide the first content and the second content to the client by establishing a connection between the server and the client, and

wherein the provided channel list URL is used to switch between the first content and the second content by maintaining the connection and without setting a new URL to

Art Unit: 2442

switch from the first content that is received over the first channel to the second content

Page 11

2 that is received over the second channel.

Harrison discloses a system that automatically switches channels ("if the priority of the selected signal is higher than the currently displayed channel, the arbitrating unit instructs the display window to take action on the selected television signal to preempt a currently displayed window of a lower priority" Harrison Column 6 line 10) depending on specified user preferences (Figure 3A; "the profile unit comprises a priority storage location that stores priority data programmed by the user to prioritize each channel being monitored." Harrison Column 4 line 60) on a home server ("a video cassette recorder which includes components, such as the processor and the main memory" Harrison column 3 line 15). As claimed:

wherein the provided channel list (Harrison Column 4 line 60 and Figure 3A; see also the user specified aggregation of Barton paragraphs [0124-0125]) is used to switch between the first content and the second content (Harrison Column 6 line 10) by maintaining the connection and (without setting a new URL) [without the client sending an additional request] (the preemption is done without user input (Harrison column 4 line 10) to switch from the first content that is received over the first channel to the second content that is received over the second channel. ("Fig. 2 illustrates an exemplary SPSU comprising a plurality of tuning units for receiving audio and video signals (television signals)" Harrison column 3 line 34)

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have modified Rakib in view of Barton with Harrison by further allowing a user to specify that viewing

Art Unit: 2442

1 preferences should determine displayed material (Harrison column 4 line 10). It would

Page 12

- 2 have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in
- 3 the art to modify Rakib in view of Barton with Harrison in order to allow a user to capture
- 4 and automatically display or record a video/audio signal based on the contents of
- 5 multiple channels (Harrison column 2 line 1).

Finally, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison does not teach: wherein the data transmission/reception unit uses the channel list URL to provide the first content and the second content to the client by establishing a connection between the server and the client. Applicant discloses that the channel list URL is UPNP control (Applicants specification 2:3 where UPNP is used for control and specification 27:5-15 where the channel list URL is used for acquisition and control) of the home server of Rakib (Rakib column 4 line 54) by the client device (remote of Rakib column 7 line 14).

Gandhi discloses that UPNP is a desirable protocol for home appliances (Gandhi column 37 line 25) including an intelligent VCR that could have identifiable services such as a tuner service, I/O switch service, A/V decoding configuration service and configurable timer services (Gandhi column 11 line 20). Where UPNP uses HTTP and URL's to send commands (Gandhi column 11 line 55) over SOAP styled XML messages (Gandhi column 17 line 58) by establishing a connection between client and server (Gandhi column 20 line 37). As claimed: wherein the data transmission/reception unit uses the channel list URL (Gandhi column 11 line 55) to provide the first content and the second content (Gandhi column 11 line 20) to the client by establishing a connection between the server and the client (Gandhi column 20 line 37).

Art Unit: 2442

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have modified Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison by utilizing UPNP as a control protocol as shown in Gandhi and including the elements necessary (shown in Gandhi figure 10). This would be done by defining services ("The fundamental controllable entity in UPnP is a Service" Gandhi column 6 line 53) for the various functions of the combination of Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison, as exemplified by Gandhi (intelligent VCR that could have identifiable services such as a tuner service, I/O switch service, A/V decoding configuration service and configurable timer services. Gandhi column 11 line 20). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison with Gandhi in order to incorporate the flexibility of object oriented application calls over a network (Gandhi column 2).

With respect to claims 13, 28, 34, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi substantially teaches the elements of claim 13, as shown above.

Regarding the additional limitations:

A processor for sending first protocol information including a function ID (Gandhi column 19 describes requests to invoke actions on services) identifying the tuner ("tuner" Gandhi column 9 line 22) receiving the content, and second protocol information including a data storage unit function ID identifying a storage unit ("transport" Gandhi column 9 line 22; and Rakib column 13 line 30 and Barton paragraphs [0172-0173]) of the server storing the content received by the tuner

Art Unit: 2442

With respect to claim 2, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the recording source content identifier is included in the storage unit control instance, and the storage unit control instance processes the first content and the second content based on the recording source content identifier.

("Program guide objects contain all information necessary for software running in the client system to tune, receive, record and view programs of interest to the user of the client system," Barton paragraph [0121])

With respect to claim 3, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the content management unit processes the content information based on the content management directory, ("Operating system 116 cooperates with the remote control 100 to receive commands to implement TIVO-like

information based on the content management directory, ("Operating system 116 cooperates with the remote control 100 to receive commands to implement TIVO-like functions" Rakib column 12 line 1; See generally TIVO like functions of column 13) and wherein the tuner control instance and the storage unit control instance set the recording source content identifier and the recording target content identifier based on a request from the client. (See also the combination of claim 1)

With respect to claim 4, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the channel list URL identifies a channel list including the first channel and the second channel wherein the first content and the second content is provided to the client based on a control request received from the client (see Barton paragraphs [0172-0173] for recording of aggregate objects; and also Harrison Figure 3A; Harrison Column 4 line 60), the control request including the channel list URL (URL controlled server, Gandhi column 11 line 55).

Art Unit: 2442

With respect to claim 5, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the recording source content identifier identifies a content storage object corresponding to a content storage region included in the content storage unit. ("a scheduling method is used to choose how each input is tuned, and what is done with the resulting captured television signal" Barton paragraph [0132]; Also paragraph [0128] and [0125])

With respect to claim 6, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the content management unit is configured to store setting information corresponding to the received content, the setting information including time information ("Another TIVO function is to save the place where a user stopped viewing a program" Rakib column 13 line 35) and recording quality information, ("Another TIVO function is providing the ability to record a program and any one of a plurality of selected quality or resolution levels" Rakib column 12 line 55) and wherein the recording target content identifier is set based on the setting information.

With respect to claim 8, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the first content is live content, and providing the first content includes live streaming of the first content to the client. ("Another TIVO function is pausing live TV for a bathroom break, a phone call, etc." Rakib column 13 line 45; also "When live programming is viewed . . ." Barton paragraph [0137])

With respect to claim 9, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein recording target content identifier includes a content storage

Art Unit: 2442

1 object URL. (Barton paragraph [0125] and Gandhi column 19 lines 25-40, URLs

identifying services, where as combined in claim 1).
 With respect to claim 10, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of

a function ID (Gandhi column 19 describes requests to invoke actions on services) to

Gandhi teaches: wherein the content information includes protocol information including

identify the tuner ("tuner" Gandhi column 9 line 22), the function ID being used to

determine the tuner control instance and the storage unit control instance ("transport"

Gandhi column 9 line 22; and Rakib column 13 line 30 and Barton paragraphs [0172-

0173]).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

With respect to claim 12, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the content delivery control unit receives a Simple Object Access Protocol [(SOAP)] content request from the client. (Gandhi column 17 line 58)

With respect to claim 14, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the processor sends a request to set the recording source content identifier, ("(2) change video channel selections for the TV or remote" Rakib column 3 line 20) the recording source content identifier being set to correspond to a control instance of the tuner. Looking to Applicants specification as published (US 2006/0212531) paragraph [0029], this section has been interpreted as "the recording source content identifier being sent to the corresponding tuner control instance", since the tuner control instance requires the recording source content identifier: ostensibly to know what content to tune to. ("Program guide objects contain all information necessary

Art Unit: 2442

for software running in the client system to tune, receive, record and view programs of interest to the user of the client system" Barton paragraph [0121])

With respect to claim 15, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the processor sends a request to set a recording target content identifier. ("the client system may further refine the collection" Barton paragraph [0125]; also the actions of Harrison's Figure 3A)

With respect to claim 17, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: setting the recording source content identifier to correspond to a recording unit control instance. Looking to Applicants specification as published (US 2006/0212531) paragraph [0053], this section has been interpreted as "sending the recording source content identifier to the recording unit control instance", since the recording unit control instance requires the recording source content identifier: ostensibly to know what content to store. ("Program guide objects contain all information necessary for software running in the client system to tune, receive, record and view programs of interest to the user of the client system" Barton paragraph [0121])

With respect to claim 18, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches:

storing the recording target content identifier as the metadata; and processing the metadata based on a request from the client. ("In a system where programming may be captured to internal storage, each captured program is represented by a new program guide object, becoming available for viewing,

Art Unit: 2442

1 aggregation, etc. Explicit viewer actions may also result in creation of program guide

Page 18

- 2 objects." Barton paragraph [0125])
- With respect to claim 19, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of
- 4 Gandhi teaches: wherein the channel list URL (URL controlled server, Gandhi column
- 5 11 line 55).identifies the first channel and the second channel (see Barton paragraphs
- 6 [0172-0173] for recording of aggregate objects; and also Harrison Figure 3A; Harrison
- 7 Column 4 line 60).
- With respect to claim 20, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of
- 9 Gandhi teaches: wherein the recording target content identifier identifies a content
- storage object included in a content storage region in the server. (see claim 5)
- With respect to claim 21, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of
- 12 Gandhi teaches: processing setting information associated with the received content,
- the setting information including time information and recording quality information,
- wherein the recording target content identifier is set based on the setting information.
- 15 (see claim 6)
- With respect to claim 23, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of
- 17 Gandhi teaches: wherein the first content is live content and providing the first content
- includes live streaming of the first content to the client. (see claim 8)
- With respect to claim 24, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of
- 20 Gandhi teaches: wherein the recording target content identifier includes a content
- 21 storage object URL. (see claim 9)

Art Unit: 2442

Page 19

With respect to claim 25, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: setting protocol information corresponding to the received content, the protocol information including a function ID to identify the tuner control instance and the storage unit control instance. (see claim 10)

With respect to claim 27, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: wherein the control request, received from the client, is based on a SOAP protocol. (see claim 12)

With respect to claim 29, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: sending a request to set the recording source content identifier, the recording source content identifier being set to correspond to a control instance of the tuner. (see claim 14)

With respect to claim 30, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi teaches: sending a request to set a recording target content identifier, the target content identifier being set to correspond to a content storage region in the server. (see claim 15)

Claims 7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakib (US 6,970,127), in view of Barton et al. (US 2003/0182567), in view of Harrison et al. (US 5,878,222), in view of Gandhi et al. (US 7,085,814), in view of Official Notice.

With respect to claims 7 and 22, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi does not teach: wherein the content management unit is configured to set a content storage object URL as the metadata. Looking to Applicants specification

Art Unit: 2442

as published (US 2006/0212531) paragraph [0432] and paragraph [0133] this content

Page 20

2 storage object URL is described as a filepath/object identifier. Since Barton discloses

that the recorded (captured) objects have identifiers ("each captured program is

represented by a new program guide object" paragraph [0125]). Thus the combination

above has the metadata, but it does not describe that is also represented by a URL.

URLs are commonly known in the art to be object identifiers. Official Notice is taken

thereof. It would therefore have been obvious to modify Rakib in view of Barton in view

of Harrison in view of Gandhi by using a URL as an object identifier as known in the art.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary

skill in the art to modify Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi in

order to allow addressing of content using a commonly known schema.

12

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Claims 11 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rakib (US 6,970,127), in view of Barton et al. (US 2003/0182567), in view of Harrison et al. (US 5,878,222), in view of Gandhi et al. (US 7,085,814), in view of Sharma et al. (US 7,159,224).

With respect to claims 11 and 26, Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi does not teach: wherein the content delivery control unit is configured to set a control instance that is configured to manage a connection between the server and the client based on a connection management table corresponding to an instance

Art Unit: 2442

1 ID, the instance ID identifying the tuner control instance and the storage unit control instance.

3 Sharma teaches said elements. Sharma discloses such elements:

wherein the content delivery control unit is configured to set a control instance that is configured to manage a connection ("References to the object and its serializer are passed as parameters to registerObject, which may generate a unique ID for the object and adds a SOAPSerializatoinState for the object to its internal map." Sharma column 32 line 15)

between the server and the client ("When client 510 prepares to send data to server 510, such as when a remote call is made to a service endpoint 555 maintained by server 530 . . . may serialize a Java object" Sharma column 30 lines 5-10)

based on a connection management table corresponding to an instance ID, the instance ID identifying the tuner control instance and the storage unit control instance. ("The SOAPSerializationState for an object may store a unique ID assigned to that object and a reference to the serializer for the object" Sharma column 31 line 33)

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have modified Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi with Sharma by using Java objects on both the server and client, and communicating between them by using serialization over the SOAP messages of Gandhi's UPNP protocol. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rakib in view of Barton in view of Harrison in view of Gandhi in order to provide an easily extensible interface that enables a flexible command structure.

Art Unit: 2442

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

3 Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Chao whose telephone number is (571)270-5657. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4 Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571)272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

14 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

18 system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

19

/M. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2492

- 20 /saleh najjar/
- 21 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2492